

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/738,307 12/15/2000		Ching-Jye Chang	AUS9-2000-0699-US1	2264	
7590 07/30/2004			EXAMINER		
Joseph R. Burwell			POLLACK, MELVIN H		
Law Office of J P.O. Box 28022	Ioseph R. Burwell	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Austin, TX 78755-8022			2141		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Q

					/			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	N			
Office Action Summary		09/738,30	7	CHANG ET AL.	/			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Melvin H P	ollack	2141				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence addre	ISS			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu riod will apply and wil atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tory minimum of thirty (30) of l expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDO	timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this comm  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	5 December 20	000.					
	) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from cor						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 June 2001</u> is/are. Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a)⊠ accepte the drawing(s) be rection is require	e held in abeyance. S ed if the drawing(s) is d	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	` '			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have beer ents have beer priority documer eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica nts have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Sta	age			
2) 🔲 Notic	ot(s) Se of References Cited (PTO-892) Se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa		2)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .		6) 🔯 Other: see attach					

Application/Control Number: 09/738,307 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Swales (6,321,272).
- 3. For claim 1, Swales teaches a method (see abstract) for managing resources (col. 1, lines 20-45) within a distributed data processing system (col. 1, lines 15-20; col. 2, lines 25-60), the method comprising the steps of:
  - a. Receiving a lease request for a resource (col. 5, lines 45-65);
  - b. In response to receiving the lease request, securing leases on a logical circuit of resources through the distributed data processing system (col. 10, lines 20-25);
  - c. In response to securing leases on a logical circuit of resources, sending a lease grant for the resource (col. 10, lines 25-41).
- 4. For claim 2, Swales teaches that receiving a lease request comprises receiving, at a first resource manager (Fig. 6, #134), a request from a resource requester (Fig. 6, #132) to lease a first requested resource for a requested lease period (Fig. 6, #142).
- 5. For claim 3, Swales teaches that securing a logical circuit of resources further comprises:

Application/Control Number: 09/738,307

Art Unit: 2141

- a. Determining a data path through the distributed data processing system between the resource requester and the requested resource (Fig. 6, #136; col. 10, line 57 col. 11, line 30);
- b. Requesting, by the first resource manager (Fig. 6, #146), a lease from a second resource manager for a second requested resource along the data path (Fig. 6, #140), wherein use of the first requested resource requires use of the second requested resource (col. 13, line 65 col. 14, line 6); and
- c. Receiving, at the first resource manager, a first granted lease for the second requested resource from the second resource manager (Fig. 6, #144).
- 6. For claim 4, Swales teaches, in response to receiving the first granted lease for the second requested resource, sending a second granted lease to the resource requester by the first resource manager (Fig. 6, #148).
- 7. For claim 5, Swales teaches detecting an oversubscribed condition on the first requested resource, and, in response, reducing a requested lease period in the second granted lease (col. 10, lines 25-40; col. 11, lines 29-40).
- 8. For claim 6, Swales teaches determining a data path comprises retrieving a predetermined data path that has been previously stored after a dynamic discovery process of devices within the distributed data processing system (col. 7, line 20 col. 8, line 15; col. 10, line 55 col. 11, line 20).
- 9. Claim7 is drawn to the limitations in claim 5. Therefore, since claim 5 is rejected, claim 7 is also rejected for the reasons above.

Application/Control Number: 09/738,307 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

10. For claim 8, Swales teaches detecting an error condition and reducing a lease period for the lease grant (col. 10, lines 20-25).

- 11. Claims 9-16 are drawn to a hardware system that implements the method drawn in claims 1-8. It is well known in the art that a system implementation is functionally equivalent to the underlying method. Therefore, since claims 1-8 are rejected, claims 9-16 are also rejected for the reasons above. A teaching that shows the functional equivalence will be included upon request.
- 12. Claims 17-24 are drawn to a software system that implements the method drawn in claims 1-8. It is well known in the art that a system implementation is functionally equivalent to the underlying method. Therefore, since claims 1-8 are rejected, claims 17-24 are also rejected for the reasons above. A teaching that shows the functional equivalence will be included upon request.
- 13. Claims 25-32 are drawn to a network system that implements the method drawn in claims 1-8. It is well known in the art that a system implementation is functionally equivalent to the underlying method. Therefore, since claims 1-8 are rejected, claims 25-32 are also rejected for the reasons above. A teaching that shows the functional equivalence will be included upon request.

## Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin H Pollack whose telephone number is (703) 305-4641. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/738,307

Art Unit: 2141

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MHP 21 July 2004

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER